Punishment

These days, the types of allocation determing of punishments to a crime have has been receiving a great deal of debate. There are two points of view about this controversial issue. Some people hold a belief that a particular crime deserves a uniform punishment, others assume that each crime should be well considered distinctively. I am inclined to the former opinion.

There are a minority of people who claim that <u>a</u> judge ought to give a punishment according to the condition of a crime, and it is unfair to decree unchangeably for all people in <u>theassame</u> crime. Unfortunately, they believe one must take age and circumstance of <u>the</u> culpable <u>person</u> into account, <u>when-during</u> judgment because the crime might <u>be</u> occurred due to a purpose or it might happen spontaneously. Besides, they argue that some penalties are given neglecting the age which is <u>out of injustice</u>. For instance, juveniles are not supposed to be judged <u>the</u> same as adults. It is proved that they were not mature sufficiently while <u>perpetrating handing over</u> the crime.

On the other hand, there are a majority of people who argue that consistent penalties are strongly more reasonable than alterable punishments. Actually, supporting this idea is seemingly rooted in the fact that the ultimate purpose of penalties is capable of improving safety in the society. For this reason, the book of law book has been written to access to the main source in fair justice systems. Otherwise, separated judgment for a crime generates complaints against the judiciaryjustice which has been influenced by a personal judge's personal opinions. Besides, The retaliation of crimes encourages people not to commit a crime and decrease the number rate of violence.

In a nutshell, I totally agree with fixed punishments and legal reference to refer to the approved rules and regulations due to maintaining discipline/order in the society.